

Public Consultation on Sustainable Buildings

Part 1: Your profile

I am answering on behalf of a company or organization

Please enter the country where your headquarters are located. -single choice reply-(compulsory)	BE - Belgium
If your answer is "Other", please specify -open reply-(optional)	
Please enter the name of your company or organization -open reply-(compulsory)	Firesafe Europe
Please enter your e-mail address -open reply-(compulsory)	info@firesafeeurope.eu
Please select the option which best describes your organization -single choice reply-(compulsory)	Other
If your answer is "Other", please specify -open reply-(optional)	alliance of several organisations with the aim to raise the profile of fire safety in buildings, especially with policy makers and regulators, at both the European and National levels
Unless you specify otherwise, your contribution will be published on the Commission's website. Please indicate here if you wish your contribution to be anonymous. -single choice reply-(compulsory)	You can publish this contribution as it is.

A. Concept of sustainable buildings

Material use for producing construction products -single choice reply-(compulsory)	Important
Material use on the construction site -single choice reply-(compulsory)	Important
Material use in the use stage of the buildings (maintenance, replacement) -single choice reply-(compulsory)	Important
Water use for manufacturing construction products -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Water use on the construction site -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Water consumption in the use phase of a building -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Energy use for manufacturing construction	I do not know

products -single choice reply-(compulsory)	
Energy use on the construction site -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Energy use on the deconstruction/demolition site -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Durability of construction products and components -single choice reply-(compulsory)	Important
Flexibility of the building design, i.e. being able to use the building for different /changing functions and needs -single choice reply-(compulsory)	Important
Deconstruction and recyclability, i.e. assuring that material can be recycled at the end of its lifetime in the building -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Use of recycled material in the construction product/building -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Management of construction and demolition waste -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Other (please use textbox directly below to explain your own suggestion that you are ranking on this line): -single choice reply-(optional)	Important
Please explain/describe here the "other" suggestion that you have in mind and which you have ranked in the last row of the previous matrix: -open reply-(optional)	The sustainability of a building is characterized by all three pillars of sustainability (environmental, social, economic). These are interrelated and should at least be mentioned as being important. Fire safety is one of the social parameters of sustainable buildings. A fire has negative effects on the environment (pollution of air, water and soil, waste, waste of resources) and is related to building design, materials, installations, and can be influenced by renovation. Thus should be tackled

2. Demand for better environmental performing buildings and construction products

Public buildings (New buildings) -single choice reply-(compulsory)	Moderate
Public buildings (Existing buildings) -single choice reply-(compulsory)	Low
Private buildings excluding residential ones (New buildings) -single choice reply-(compulsory)	Low
Private buildings excluding residential ones (Existing buildings) -single choice reply-(compulsory)	Low
Residential buildings (New buildings) -single choice reply-(compulsory)	Moderate
Residential buildings (Existing buildings) -single choice reply-(compulsory)	Low

Construction products -single choice reply- (compulsory)	Moderate
Other (please use textbox directly below to explain your own suggestion that you are ranking on this line): -single choice reply-(optional)	
Please explain/describe here the "other" suggestion that you have in mind and which you have ranked in the last row of the previous matrix: -open reply-(optional)	
Public buildings (New buildings) -single choice reply-(compulsory)	Moderate
Public buildings (Existing buildings) -single choice reply-(compulsory)	Low
Private buildings excluding residential ones (New buildings) -single choice reply-(compulsory)	Low
Private buildings excluding residential ones (Existing buildings) -single choice reply-(compulsory)	Low
Residential buildings (New buildings) -single choice reply-(compulsory)	Moderate
Residential buildings (Existing buildings) -single choice reply-(compulsory)	Low
Construction products -single choice reply- (compulsory)	Moderate
Please refer here to the "other" suggestion that you described in the text box under question 2A: -single choice reply-(optional)	
Public buildings (New buildings) -single choice reply-(compulsory)	EU
Public buildings (Existing buildings) -single choice reply-(compulsory)	EU
Private buildings excluding residential ones (New buildings) -single choice reply-(compulsory)	Market
Private buildings excluding residential ones (Existing buildings) -single choice reply-(compulsory)	Market
Residential buildings (New buildings) -single choice reply-(compulsory)	EU
Residential buildings (Existing buildings) -single choice reply-(compulsory)	EU
Construction products -single choice reply- (compulsory)	EU

Please refer here to the "other" suggestion that you described in the text box under question 2A:

-single choice reply-(optional)

3. Availability of indicators and data

3A. Has your organisation performed or required a Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) or used information from an LCA [i] in relation to construction products or components?

-single choice reply-(compulsory)

NO

3B. Has your organisation used a scheme for the assessment of the environmental performance of a building? -single choice reply-

(compulsory)

YES using more than one scheme

If yes, which one(s)?

-open reply-(compulsory)

BREEAM, DGNB

LCAs for construction products

-single choice reply-(compulsory)

I do not know

Indicators/methods for building product LCAs

-single choice reply-(compulsory)

I do not know

Input data to LCAs

-single choice reply-(compulsory)

I do not know

Indicators for the environmental performance of buildings

-single choice reply-

(compulsory)

I do not know

Data on the environmental performance of buildings

-single choice reply-(compulsory)

I do not know

National indicators for resource flows related to buildings. E.g., indicators for material consumption, waste generation etc.

-single choice reply-

(compulsory)

I do not know

National data on resource flows related to buildings. E.g., data on material consumption,

waste generation, etc.

-single choice reply-

(compulsory)

I do not know

Other (please use textbox directly below to explain your own suggestion that you are

ranking on this line):

-single choice reply-(optional)

Please explain/describe here the "other" suggestion that you have in mind and which you have ranked in the last row of the previous matrix:

-open reply-(optional)

LCAs for construction products

-single choice reply-

(compulsory)

I do not know

Indicators/ methods for construction product LCAs -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Input data to LCAs -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Indicators for the environmental performance of buildings -single choice reply-(compulsory)	EU
Data on the environmental performance of buildings -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
National indicators for resource flows related to buildings -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
National data on resource flows related to buildings -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Please refer here to the "other area" you described in the text box under question 3C: -single choice reply-(optional)	

4. Systems to communicate environmental performance of construction products and buildings

Do not need it -single choice reply-(compulsory)	Not a reason
Lack of information -single choice reply-(compulsory)	Not a reason
Lack of training -single choice reply-(compulsory)	Not a reason
Too much effort -single choice reply-(compulsory)	Not a reason
Too costly -single choice reply-(compulsory)	Not a reason
No appropriate system exists -single choice reply-(compulsory)	Not a reason
Other reason (please use textbox directly below to explain your own suggestion that you are ranking on this line): -single choice reply-(optional)	Major reason
Please explain/describe here the "other" suggestion that you have in mind and which you have ranked in the last row of the previous matrix: -open reply-(optional)	Firesafe Europe focuses on buildings, not on products. As an alliance we do not make EPDs.
Different reporting schemes for environmental performance declarations (EPDs) for construction products -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Different national reporting requirements	

on environmental performance of construction products -single choice reply- (compulsory)	I do not know
Other (please use textbox directly below to explain your own suggestion that you are ranking on this line): -single choice reply-(optional)	
Please explain/describe here the "other" suggestion that you have in mind and which you have ranked in the last row of the previous matrix: -open reply-(optional)	
Different schemes are demanded by different clients -single choice reply-(compulsory)	Major reason
Changing demands from clients have made us change scheme -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Legislations/requirements differ between countries where we operate and this forces us to use different systems -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Changing legislation/requirements have made us change scheme -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
In order to learn and gain experience -single choice reply-(compulsory)	Major reason
Other reason (please use textbox directly below to explain your own suggestion that you are ranking on this line): -single choice reply-(optional)	Major reason
Please explain/describe here the "other" suggestion that you have in mind and which you have ranked in the last row of the previous matrix: -open reply-(optional)	We follow different schemes and the way they implement the social issues such as fire safety into their sustainability assessment.
It gives the designer/developer/builder an even better understanding of the environmental impacts of different options -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
It gives stakeholders like investors, public authorities, private persons and organisations in general an even better understanding of the environmental impacts of different options -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
It opens up new market possibilities -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
It is costly -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Appropriate information is hard to find -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
It requires a lot of training -single choice reply-	I do not know

(compulsory)	
It requires a lot of effort -single choice reply- (compulsory)	I do not know
It requires a lot of knowledge -single choice reply- (compulsory)	I do not know
Other statement (please use textbox directly below to explain your own suggestion that you are ranking on this line): -single choice reply-(optional)	I totally agree
Please explain/describe here the "other" suggestion that you have in mind and which you have ranked in the last row of the previous matrix: -open reply-(optional)	The inclusion of sustainability parameters in the schemes – including environmental, social and economic – should be based on harmonised standards. There must be a common understanding of the important characteristics of a sustainable building, including a common understanding and awarding of improved fire safety for building sustainability.
Different reporting schemes for the environmental performance of buildings -single choice reply-(compulsory)	EU
Different national reporting requirements on environmental performance of buildings -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Other (please use textbox directly below to explain your own suggestion that you are ranking on this line): -single choice reply-(optional)	
Please explain/describe here the "other" suggestion that you have in mind and which you have ranked in the last row of the previous matrix: -open reply-(optional)	
5. Material management	
Recycled material in construction products -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Disassembly of construction products (taking apart construction products into parts suitable for reuse or recycling) -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Recyclability of sorted building materials -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Identification and sorting of construction and demolition waste -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Competence of work force at construction and/or demolition site -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Design for deconstruction of buildings (considering already at the design stage)	I do not know

<p>how to take apart a building at the end of its life time, into parts that can be reused or recycled) -single choice reply-(compulsory)</p>	
<p>Other area (please use textbox directly below to explain your own suggestion that you are ranking on this line): -single choice reply-(optional)</p>	
<p>Please explain/describe here the "other" suggestion that you have in mind and which you have ranked in the last row of the previous matrix: -open reply-(optional)</p>	
<p>Recycled material in construction products -single choice reply-(compulsory)</p>	I do not know
<p>Disassembly of construction products (taking apart construction products into parts suitable for reuse or recycling) -single choice reply-(compulsory)</p>	I do not know
<p>Recyclability of sorted building materials -single choice reply-(compulsory)</p>	I do not know
<p>Identification and sorting of construction and demolition waste -single choice reply-(compulsory)</p>	I do not know
<p>Competence of work force at construction and/or demolition site -single choice reply-(compulsory)</p>	I do not know
<p>Design for deconstruction of buildings (considering already at the design stage how to take apart a building at the end of its life time, into parts that can be reused or recycled) -single choice reply-(compulsory)</p>	I do not know
<p>Please refer here to the "other area" you described in the text box under question 5A: -single choice reply-(optional)</p>	
<p>6. Increasing built space</p>	
<p>6A. In your view, what are the major reasons for the increasing demand of built space per person? -open reply-(compulsory)</p>	Comfort
<p>7. Measures on assessment framework for the environmental performance of buildings</p>	
<p>General guidance regarding resource use areas to include in existing and new</p>	I do not know

<p>schemes for the assessment of the environmental performance of buildings</p> <p>-single choice reply-(compulsory)</p>	
<p>A voluntary European framework consisting of core indicators</p> <p>-single choice reply-(compulsory)</p>	I do not know
<p>A voluntary European framework consisting of core indicators and, eventually, a set of benchmarks</p> <p>-single choice reply-(compulsory)</p>	I do not know
<p>A mandatory European framework consisting of core indicators</p> <p>-single choice reply-(compulsory)</p>	I do not know
<p>A mandatory European framework consisting of core indicators and, eventually, a set of benchmarks</p> <p>-single choice reply-(compulsory)</p>	I do not know
<p>No change in EU policy</p> <p>-single choice reply-(compulsory)</p>	Not effective
<p>Other policy option not listed above (please use textbox directly below to explain your own suggestion that you are ranking on this line):</p> <p>-single choice reply-(optional)</p>	
<p>Please explain/describe here the "other" suggestion that you have in mind and which you have ranked in the last row of the previous matrix:</p> <p>-open reply-(optional)</p>	
<p>General guidance regarding resource use areas to include in existing and new schemes for the assessment of the environmental performance of buildings</p> <p>-single choice reply-(compulsory)</p>	I do not know
<p>A voluntary European framework consisting of core indicators</p> <p>-single choice reply-(compulsory)</p>	I do not know
<p>A voluntary European framework consisting of core indicators and, eventually, a set of benchmarks</p> <p>-single choice reply-(compulsory)</p>	I do not know
<p>A mandatory European framework consisting of core indicators</p> <p>-single choice reply-(compulsory)</p>	I do not know
<p>A mandatory European framework consisting of core indicators and, eventually, a set of benchmarks</p> <p>-single choice reply-(compulsory)</p>	I do not know
<p>No change in EU policy</p> <p>-single choice reply-(compulsory)</p>	I do not know
<p>Please refer here to the "other area" you described in the text box under question 7A:</p> <p>-single choice reply-(optional)</p>	

8. Measures to stimulate demand for better environmental performing buildings

Mandatory GPP (going beyond energy efficiency) for all or certain type of buildings (e.g. schools), based on European criteria -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Voluntary GPP (going beyond energy efficiency) for all or certain type of buildings (e.g. schools), based on European criteria -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Mandatory targets for the extent of GPP of buildings by public authorities -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Voluntary targets for the extent of GPP of buildings by public authorities -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Training of relevant authorities in how to use GPP in the area on buildings -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Increasing the use of GPP of buildings (going beyond energy efficiency) in future EU regional policy -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
EU-wide life cycle costing (LCC) methods for buildings for GPP -single choice reply-(compulsory)	Effective
No change in EU policy -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Other policy option not listed above (please use textbox directly below to explain your own suggestion that you are ranking on this line): -single choice reply-(optional)	
Please explain/describe here the "other" suggestion that you have in mind and which you have ranked in the last row of the previous matrix: -open reply-(optional)	
Mandatory GPP (going beyond energy efficiency) for all or certain type of buildings (e.g. schools), based on European criteria -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Voluntary GPP (going beyond energy efficiency) for all or certain type of buildings (e.g. schools), based on European criteria -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Mandatory targets for the extent of GPP of buildings by public authorities -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know

Voluntary targets for the extent of GPP of buildings by public authorities -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Training of relevant authorities in how to use GPP in the area on buildings -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Increasing the use of GPP of buildings (going beyond energy efficiency) in future EU regional policy -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
EU-wide life cycle costing (LCC) methods for buildings for GPP -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
No change in EU policy -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Please refer here to the "other area" you described in the text box under question 8A: -single choice reply-(optional)	
A range of further initiative could be considered for both the public and private consumers:	
Label/Certification providing information on environmental performance of buildings, based on a European framework -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Voluntary agreements on minimum environmental performance of buildings -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Awareness raising campaign where e.g. architects help clients understanding different options in terms of environmental performance -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
European Eco-label for buildings (awarded to best environmental performers) -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Provide guidance to Member States on financial incentives (e.g. tax breaks, preferential loans) -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
No change in EU policy -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Other policy option not listed above (please use textbox directly below to explain your own suggestion that you are ranking on this line): -single choice reply-(optional)	
Please explain/describe here the "other" suggestion that you have in mind and which you have ranked in	

the last row of the previous matrix: -open reply-(optional)	
Label/Certification providing information on environmental performance of buildings, based on a European framework -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Voluntary agreements on minimum environmental performance of buildings -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Awareness raising campaign where e.g. architects help clients understanding different options in terms of environmental performance -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
European Eco-label for buildings (awarded to best environmental performers) -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Provide guidance to Member States on financial incentives (e.g. tax breaks, preferential loans) -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
No change in EU policy -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Please refer here to the "other policy option" you described in the text box under question 8D: -single choice reply-(optional)	
Label/Certification providing information on environmental performance of buildings, based on a European framework -single choice reply-(compulsory)	Effective
Voluntary agreements on minimum environmental performance of buildings -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Awareness raising campaign where e.g. architects help clients understanding different options in terms of environmental performance -single choice reply-(compulsory)	Effective
European Eco-label for buildings (awarded to best environmental performers) -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Provide guidance to Member States on financial incentives (e.g. tax breaks, preferential loans) -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
No change in EU policy -single choice reply-(compulsory)	Not effective
Other policy option not listed above (please use textbox directly below to explain your own	Effective

suggestion that you are ranking on this line): -single choice reply-(optional)	
Please explain/describe here the "other" suggestion that you have in mind and which you have ranked in the last row of the previous matrix: -open reply-(optional)	A label / certification should include all dimensions of sustainability.
Label/Certification providing information on environmental performance of buildings, based on a European framework -single choice reply-(compulsory)	Significantly
Voluntary agreements on minimum environmental performance of buildings -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Awareness raising campaign where e.g. architects help clients understanding different options in terms of environmental performance -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
European Eco-label for buildings (awarded to best environmental performers) -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Provide guidance to Member States on financial incentives (e.g. tax breaks, preferential loans) -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
No change in EU policy -single choice reply-(compulsory)	Not at all
Please refer here to the "other policy option" you described in the text box under question 8G: -single choice reply-(optional)	Significantly

9. Measures on assessment and reporting scheme for the environmental performance of construction products

Mandatory EU environmental product declarations (EPDs). Declarations would be complete in the sense that they would cover all relevant environmental impacts. -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Voluntary EU environmental product declarations (EPDs). Declarations would be complete in the sense that they would cover all relevant environmental impacts. -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Mandatory EU environmental product declarations (EPDs). Declarations would	I do not know

be limited in the sense that they would cover only a selected set of environmental impacts. -single choice reply-(compulsory)	
Voluntary EU environmental product declarations (EPDs). Declarations would be limited in the sense that they would cover only a selected set of environmental impacts. -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Develop a common EU database for EPDs for buildings products -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Support the use of EPDs and software tools to provide information on the environmental performance of construction products to e.g. architects and builders -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
No change in EU policy -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Other policy option not listed above (please use textbox directly below to explain your own suggestion that you are ranking on this line): -single choice reply-(optional)	
Please explain/describe here the "other" suggestion that you have in mind and which you have ranked in the last row of the previous matrix: -open reply-(optional)	
Mandatory EU environmental product declarations (EPDs). Declarations would be complete in the sense that they would cover all relevant environmental impacts. -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Voluntary EU environmental product declarations (EPDs). Declarations would be complete in the sense that they would cover all relevant environmental impacts. -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Mandatory EU environmental product declarations (EPDs). Declarations would be limited in the sense that they would cover only a selected set of environmental impacts. -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Voluntary EU environmental product declarations (EPDs). Declarations would be limited in the sense that they would cover only a selected set of environmental impacts. -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know

Develop a common EU database for EPDs for buildings products -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Support the use of EPDs and software tools to provide information on the environmental performance of construction products to e.g. architects and builders -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
No change in EU policy -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Please refer here to the "other policy option" you described in the text box under question 9A: -single choice reply-(optional)	
10. Measures to ensure the availability of data for life-cycle analysis (LCA)	
Further development of the European Life-cycle Database (ELCD) -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Common platform to share existing and future LCA data -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
No change in EU policy -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Other policy option not listed above (please use textbox directly below to explain your own suggestion that you are ranking on this line): -single choice reply-(optional)	
Please explain/describe here the "other" suggestion that you have in mind and which you have ranked in the last row of the previous matrix: -open reply-(optional)	
Further development of the European Life-cycle Database (ELCD) -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Common platform to share existing and future LCA data -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
No change in EU policy -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Please refer here to the "other policy option" you described in the text box under question 10A: -single choice reply-(optional)	
11. Measures to ensure the availability of national data on resource flows related to buildings	
Establish indicators to be used at national level when collecting data -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Require data collection at national level -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know

No change in EU policy -single choice reply- (compulsory)	I do not know
Other policy option not listed above (please use textbox directly below to explain your own suggestion that you are ranking on this line): -single choice reply-(optional)	
Please explain/describe here the "other" suggestion that you have in mind and which you have ranked in the last row of the previous matrix: -open reply-(optional)	
Establish indicators to be used at national level when collecting data -single choice reply- (compulsory)	I do not know
Require data collection at national level -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
No change in EU policy -single choice reply- (compulsory)	I do not know
Please refer here to the "other policy option" you described in the text box under question 11A: -single choice reply-(optional)	
12. Measures to use construction material more efficiently	
Recommend Member States to require some kind of an end of life assessment in order to grant a building permit -single choice reply- (compulsory)	I do not know
Include aspects such as "design for deconstruction" and the "use of recyclable and/or recycled materials" in assessment frameworks for buildings. -single choice reply- (compulsory)	I do not know
Include aspects such as "design for deconstruction" and the "use of recyclable and/or recycled materials" in assessment systems for construction products. -single choice reply- (compulsory)	I do not know
Include aspects such as "design for deconstruction" and the "use of recyclable and/or recycled materials" in GPP criteria -single choice reply- (compulsory)	I do not know
Support markets for secondary construction materials -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Introduce quality standards for secondary	I do not know

<p>construction materials -single choice reply- (compulsory)</p>	
<p>Set targets for management of construction and demolition waste -single choice reply-(compulsory)</p>	I do not know
<p>Support voluntary agreements on reduction of construction and demolition waste -single choice reply-(compulsory)</p>	I do not know
<p>Ban landfill of construction and demolition waste -single choice reply-(compulsory)</p>	I do not know
<p>Recommend increased taxes for the landfill of construction and demolition waste -single choice reply-(compulsory)</p>	I do not know
<p>Support collaboration along supply chain for sustainable material and waste management -single choice reply-(compulsory)</p>	I do not know
<p>Stimulate business models where developers/builders keep the ownership and responsibility for maintenance and upgrading of the building -single choice reply-(compulsory)</p>	I do not know
<p>No change in EU policy -single choice reply-(compulsory)</p>	I do not know
<p>Other policy option not listed above (please use textbox directly below to explain your own suggestion that you are ranking on this line): -single choice reply-(optional)</p>	Effective
<p>Please explain/describe here the "other" suggestion that you have in mind and which you have ranked in the last row of the previous matrix: -open reply-(optional)</p>	Any supportive measures should avoid burden shifts to social parameters (durability, risks etc). Eg: Modern methods of construction (including 'green' buildings) influence the fire characteristics. Energy efficient renovation could result in an increase of the fire load of the building. Increased airtightness and ventilation systems influence the fire behaviour.
<p>Recommend Member States to require some kind of an end of life assessment in order to grant a building permit -single choice reply-(compulsory)</p>	I do not know
<p>Include aspects such as "design for deconstruction" and the "use of recyclable and/or recycled materials" in assessment frameworks for buildings. -single choice reply-(compulsory)</p>	I do not know
<p>Include aspects such as "design for deconstruction" and the "use of recyclable and/or recycled materials" in assessment systems for construction products. -single choice reply-(compulsory)</p>	I do not know

Include aspects such as "design for deconstruction" and the "use of recyclable and/or recycled materials" in GPP criteria -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Support markets for secondary construction materials -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Introduce quality standards for secondary construction materials -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Set targets for management of construction and demolition waste -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Support voluntary agreements on reduction of construction and demolition waste -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Ban landfill of construction and demolition waste -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Recommend increased taxes for the landfill of construction and demolition waste -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Support collaboration along supply chain for sustainable material and waste management -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Stimulate business models where developers/builders keep the ownership and responsibility for maintenance and upgrading of the building -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
No change in EU policy -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Please refer here to the "other policy option" you described in the text box under question 12A: -single choice reply-(optional)	Significantly

13. Measures to use buildings more efficiently

Include the efficient use of buildings (e.g. using empty or flexible or multi-purpose buildings) in assessment schemes or add this aspect to GPP criteria. -single choice reply-(compulsory)	Somewhat effective
Platform to share best practice on how to use buildings more efficiently -single choice reply-(compulsory)	Effective
Support training of relevant actors -single choice reply-(compulsory)	Effective
No change in EU policy -single choice reply-(compulsory)	Not effective

Other policy option not listed above (please use textbox directly below to explain your own suggestion that you are ranking on this line): -single choice reply-(optional)	Effective
Please explain/describe here the "other" suggestion that you have in mind and which you have ranked in the last row of the previous matrix: -open reply-(optional)	Any policy option should avoid burden shifts to social parameters (durability, risks etc). More efficient use of buildings should not compromise fire safety. The relevance of the social parameters of sustainable buildings should be included in trainings and knowledge exchanges.
Include the efficient use of buildings (e.g. using empty or flexible or multi-purpose buildings) in assessment schemes or add this aspect to GPP criteria. -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Platform to share best practice on how to use buildings more efficiently -single choice reply-(compulsory)	Slightly
Support training of relevant actors -single choice reply-(compulsory)	Slightly
No change in EU policy -single choice reply-(compulsory)	I do not know
Please refer here to the "other policy option" you described in the text box under question 13A: -single choice reply-(optional)	Significantly
D. Further contacts	
14. Are you available to provide further clarifications with regard to some of your replies, in case it is necessary (your e-mail address and phone number provided in the questionnaire will be used for this purpose)? -single choice reply-(compulsory)	YES